

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/898,424

Applicant(s)

YOUNG, DONALD C.

Examiner

C. SAYALA

Art Unit

1761

## All Participants:

(1) C. SAYALA.

(2) Ms Rokos.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 11 February 2004

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*as rejected in the Final Office action*

Claims discussed:

*all pending claims*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Hsu*

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim amendments to overcome the Hsu reference and distinguish applicant's claims over art of record, were discussed in view of Applicant's amendment of 1/29/04. Applicant will fax amended claims based on this discussion. The examiner's amendment depicts an exact copy of applicant's amended claims faxed 2/18/04. .